

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/964,060   | 09/26/2001  | Anthony Baerlocher   | 406470                  | 1422             |  |
| 7590 10/23/2003  |             | EXAMINER             |                         |                  |  |
| George H. Gerstman   |             |                      | ENATSKY,                | ENATSKY, AARON L |  |
| Seyfarth Shaw 55 East Monroe Street, Suite 4200 Chicago, IL 60603-5803 |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|  |             |                      | 3713                    | 0                |  |
|  |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 10/23/2003 | 1                |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | _  | // \   | ί. |
|---|--|--|----|
| ·   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |    |
| Advisory Action   | 09/964,060   | BAERLOCHER, ANTHONY  |    |
|   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |    |
|   | Aaron L Enatsky  | 3713   |    |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ears on the cover sheet with the d                                   | correspondence address   |    |
| THE REPLY FILED 10 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: ('condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apperexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   | void abandonment of this appli<br>1) a timely filed amendment whi    | cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in                                      |    |
| PERIOD FOR RE   | EPLY [check either a) or b)]   |  |    |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of   | •  |  |    |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions. | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH | of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee |    |
| 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | d statutory period for reply originally set in                       | the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in  |    |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF   |  |  |    |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be   | ecause:  |  |    |
| (a) \( \square\) they raise new issues that would require furth   | er consideration and/or search                                       | (see NOTE below);  |    |
| (b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note   | below);  |  |    |
| (c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or  | in better form for appeal by ma                                      | terially reducing or simplifying the   | е  |
| (d) they present additional claims without cance  | ling a corresponding number of                                       | finally rejected claims.   |    |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.   |  |  |    |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject  |  |  |    |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | I be allowable if submitted in a s                                   | separate, timely filed amendment   |    |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:  |  | sidered but does NOT place the   |    |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  | cause it is not directed SOLELY                                      | f to issues which were newly   |    |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w   |  |  |    |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  | :  |  |    |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |  |  |    |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |  |  |    |
| Claim(s) rejected:  |  |  |    |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |  |    |
| 8. $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is  | s a) □ approved or b) □ disap  | proved by the Examiner.  |    |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement   | _  | / 2.3  |    |
| 10. Other:  | Z1   | Vallery  |    |
|   | Teres  | sa Walberg   |    |
|   |  | Patent Examiner<br>oup 3700  |    |
|   | G.O  | - <del></del>  |    |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/964,060

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's claims currently do not distinguish over cited prior art. Applicant's underlying game is directed towards a memory matching game where a payout is provided to a player depending on a player's ability to successfully complete a match. Examiner's rejection describes the elements of a game using the matching concept to provide additional monetary winnings to a player. The arguments towards the potential awards are unpersuasive as successive games are based on a predefined payback percentage that over time remains the same. And a reward is chosen using two random number generators or one, the payback percentage will be fixed according to gaming regulation or dictated by casino rules.